

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/043,392  | 11/07/2001     | Jason K. Trotter        | ITWO:0015           | 4607             |
| 75  | 590 02/04/2003 |                         |                     |                  |
| Tait R. Swanson Fletcher, Yoder & Van Someren P.O. Box 692289 |                |                         | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |                |                         | ORTIZ, ANGELA Y     |                  |
| Houston, TX 77269-2289  |                |                         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                |                         | 1732                | Ч                |
|   |                | DATE MAILED: 02/04/2003 |                     |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Assista Comments   | 10/043,392  | TROTTER ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
| 71 144 110 0 1 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1  | Angela Ortiz  | 1732   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: cause the application to become ABAN | y be timely filed  10) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 ∧  | lovember 2002 .   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Thi   | s action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>b</i> Disposition of Claims   | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.  | 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15,21-25 and 29-34</u> is/are rejected.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-20 and 26-28</u> is/are objected to.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 November 2001</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | priority under 35 U.S.C. 99   | , 120 aliu/01 121.   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1/2.  |   | nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1732

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The remaining claims are drawn to the method and not apparatus; the words "and apparatus", and "and joint made by same" should be deleted from the title.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-6, 11-12, 15, 24-25, 29-30, 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jackson, USP 4,290,181.

The cited reference teaches the claimed method including forming a ball joint by placing a ball stud within a desired structure, and injecting mold material into the structure to mold a layer of material around the ball stud and form a mechanical joint. The desired structure includes a cavity that is shaped to receive the ball portion of the ball stud. A retaining ring may be provided at the edge of the structure, or a positioning fixture may be provided with flanged edges to allow the ball stud to be self-retaining, and allow symmetrical centered positioning of the ball stud within the structure. After

Art Unit: 1732

injecting of the mold material, the ball stud may be rotated to allow the material to contract and fix to the ball stud, and to allow the formed structure to be self-tolerancing. Please see col. 2, line 15 to col. 3, line 25.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2, 21-23, 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson, USP 4,290,181 in view of Runyan et al., USP 4,430,285.

The cited primary reference substantially teaches the basic claimed method including forming a ball joint by placing a ball stud within a desired structure, and injecting mold material into the structure to mold a layer of material around the ball stud

Art Unit: 1732

and form a mechanical joint. The desired structure includes a cavity that is shaped to receive the ball portion of the ball stud. A retaining ring may be provided at the edge of the structure, or a positioning fixture may be provided with flanged edges to allow the ball stud to be self-retaining, and allow symmetrical centered positioning of the ball stud within the structure. After injecting of the mold material, the ball stud may be rotated to allow the material to contract and fix to the ball stud, and to allow the formed structure to be self-tolerancing. Please see col. 2, line 15 to col. 3, line 25.

The cited primary reference does not set forth molding of the ball stud in place.

The cited secondary reference teaches the basic claimed process of molding a ball stud assembly. The method teaches as conventional the forming of a ball stud structure by molding a ball structure with an integral shank. The ball stud is placed within a cavity, and a housing is formed around the ball stud, and shaped into a desired configuration. See col. 3, lines 25-50.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to so include molding of the ball stud as shown in the added reference, when performing the process set forth in the primary reference, as an alternative equivalent means for providing the ball stud as desired, as such would equivalently yield the desired joint structure.

Note that the liner and the housing structure comprise plural layers around the ball stud.

Art Unit: 1732

Claims 7-10, 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson, USP 4,290,181 in view of Borgen et al., USP 4,439,909.

The cited primary reference teaches the basic claimed method including forming a ball joint by placing a ball stud within a desired structure, and injecting mold material into the structure to mold a layer of material around the ball stud and form a mechanical joint. The desired structure includes a cavity that is shaped to receive the ball portion of the ball stud. A retaining ring may be provided at the edge of the structure, or a positioning fixture may be provided with flanged edges to allow the ball stud to be self-retaining, and allow symmetrical centered positioning of the ball stud within the structure. After injecting of the mold material, the ball stud may be rotated to allow the material to contract and fix to the ball stud, and to allow the formed structure to be self-tolerancing. Please see col. 2, line 15 to col. 3, line 25.

The cited primary reference does not show the claimed step of creating a temperature differential between the housing structure and the ball stud.

The added secondary reference teaches as conventional the forming of a ball joint by preheating a housing structure and force fitting the structure around a ball stud, wherein a tight fit is required. See col. 2, line 55 to col. 3, line 15.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to create a temperature differential as shown in the added reference, when performing the process set forth in the primary reference, for forming a structure with a tight fit.

Art Unit: 1732

The added reference shows heating the housing structure; note that heating of the ball would have been obvious also as heating of either structure would equivalently achieve the desired temperature differential.

Note that the reference teaches the step of quenching the heated housing. The step of quenching cools the assembly and is equivalent to the claimed step of cooling.

Note that the housing cools toward the ball stud as claimed.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 16-20, and 26-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. USP's 3904731; 6139788; 4453300; 4325904; 4488573; 5092703; 5609433; 6109816.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela Ortiz whose telephone number is 703-308-4446. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 703-308-3853. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/043,392 Page 7

Art Unit: 1732

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Angela Ortiz Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

ao January 27, 2003